





PROTECTION FROM RADIOACTIVE CONTAMINATED PARTICLES OR LIQUID HAZARDS

Protection from nuclear hazards can be divided into two main areas: protection against ionizing radiation hazards and protection against radioactive particle or liquid hazards.

The fabrics used in single-use protective garments <u>do not</u> provide a barrier to **ionizing radiation** (e.g., gamma rays, X-rays or radioactive alpha or beta particles). The standard protocol used to reduce radiation exposure would include time, distance and shielding. For garments, limited shielding may be provided by specialty garments that contain lead-based materials. DuPont <u>does not</u> offer any specialty garments that provide protection from **ionizing radiation** hazards.

For hazards associated with **radioactive contaminated** particles or liquids, rather than blocking the radiation itself, appropriate garments are designed to minimize the penetration of radioactive materials, thereby also keeping contact with the skin and clothing worn underneath to a minimum. These garments are designed to be worn in a potentially affected area and then be promptly removed and disposed of, such that long-term exposure to the radioactive contaminated material is minimized after leaving the potentially affected area and to avoid contaminating other areas.

Protective Clothing Against Radioactive Contamination & the EN 1073-2 Standard

Chemical protective garments are intended for single use so that a cross-contamination with radioactive particles can be minimized.

DuPont offer specially designed garments that help provide protection from radioactive particles and liquids. Specific fabric types, seam configurations and garment designs should be specified to match the hazard

In general, more body coverage is better:

Hooded coveralls (with attached socks) help to keep the entire body free of radioactive materials.


DuPont™ Tyvek® 500 Xpert, Tyvek® 600 Plus, Tyvek® 800 J, Tychem® 2000 C Standard, as well as Tychem® 6000 F Standard garments are tested according to EN 1073-2 as protective clothing against radioactive contamination.

The suit inward leakage test

The EN 1073-2 standard was developed with the nuclear industry in mind, but does not apply for the protection against ionizing radiation. The 1073-2 standard itself is very similar to the Chemical Protective Clothing Type 5 standard (EN ISO 13982-1).

With respect to whole suit particle protection levels, both standards reference the testing protocol "Protective clothing for use against solid particulates - test method of determination of inward leakage of aerosols of fine particles into suits" (EN ISO 13982-2). This test essentially determines the barrier efficiency of the suit when challenged with sodium chloride particulates of a defined size distribution. The results are then used to determine both a **performance classification** and a "**nominal protection factor**", analogous to respiratory standards.

Fig. 1 - The suit inward leakage test



For EN 1073-2, when tested according to EN 13982-2, six suits are tested. The total inward leakage results (TIL) are reported, as a ratio (in %) of the test particle concentration inside the suit & the test chamber:

Total Inward
Leakage (TIL) =

Concentration of test particules (inside suit)

Concentration of test particules in the chamber

Nominal protection factor & performance class (EN 1073-2 / EN 13982-2)

There are three types of performance class to describe the suit particle protection level:

Class 1 = Lowest particle barrier | Class 3 = Highest particle barrier

| Nominal Protection Factor = | 100 |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Nominal Protection Factor = | Total Average Inward Leakage |

In other words, a suit which offers the **highest protection** to the fine particulates will have **LOW inward leakage**, and thus a **HIGH nominal protection factor**.

A suit which offers a low nominal protection factor, e.g. "5", means it had an average of 20% inward leakage.

To determine the performance classification, the total average inward leakage value per activity (standing, walking and squatting) is calculated (TILE). This value and also the Nominal protection factor are both considered in order to determine which performance class is attributed to the suit. **See Table 1.**

Table 1

| | Mean value of inw three sampling pos during (| | |
|-------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Class | One activity (TILE) % | All activities (TILA) % | Nominal protection factor |
| 3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 500 |
| 2 | 3 | 2 | 50 |
| 1 | 30 | 20 | 5 |

^{*} Nominal protection factor = 100 / TILA.

A performance class of 3, i.e. the "highest" performance class can be understood as: The highest value for the inward leakage measured for each of the three activities (TILE) is less than 0.3% and also the overall total average inward leakage (TILA) is less than 0.2%.

TECHNICAL BULLETIN NUCLEAR RISKS



Dry Environment Contamination of Radioactive Particles

For dry radioactive particle hazards, **hooded DuPontTM Tyvek**° **coveralls** are suggested for your consideration. In addition, whether or not over-taped seams are required would be dictated by the expected intensity of the exposure. Garments with over-taped seams offer a higher overall protection to particles than garments with stitched seams and should ideally be preferred.

Mixed Dry & Wet Environments, Contamination of Radioactive Particles and Liquids

For liquids that have been contaminated by radiation, hooded Tychem® 2000 C & 6000 F coveralls may provide protection of the wearer from the chemical hazard, but not from ionizing radiation.

Based on the chemical permeation data, you are able to select the fabric that offers an adequate chemical barrier. In order to access the permeation data for the DuPont™ SafeSPEC™ fabrics you may visit <u>DuPont™ SafeSPEC™</u> or contact us.

Table below shows the performance of some of the different DuPont garments in terms of Nominal Protection Factor and the corresponding performance class (according to 1073-2) and below indicates the preferred and adequate solutions in case of mixed particulate and liquid contamination. Nevertheless, depending on the risk assessment of the application different solutions might be required. **Contact us** for technical support in appropriate garment selection.

| | | | | | | | Nuclear Hazards - Descriptions & examples | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------|--------|---|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | | | | Dry contamination | Mixed dry & wet contamination | | | |
| | DuPont garment name | Fabric description | Seam type | Certification types | NPF* | Class | Radioactive particles | Radioactive particles, liquid mist & aerosols | Radioactive particles & heavy liquid spray with concentra ted inorganic chemicals" | Radioactive particles & heavy liquid spray with a range of organic chemicals" | |
| | ProShield® 20 & 20 SFR | SMS | Stitched | 5 & 6 | >5 | 1/3 | • | • | • | • | |
| | ProShield® 60 | Microporous film | Stitched | 5 & 6 | >5 | 1/3 | • | • | • | • | |
| | Tyvek® 400 Dual | Tyvek® Front SMS rear | Stitched | 5 & 6 | >5 | 1/3 | • | • | • | • | |
| | Tyvek® 500 Xpert | Tyvek® 500 | Stitched | 5-B & 6-B | >50 | 2/3 | 0 | 0 | • | • | |
| | Tyvek® 600 Plus (with attached socks) | Tyvek® 600 | Stitched & over-taped | 4-B, 5-B & 6-B | >50 | 2/3 | • | • | • | • | |
| | Tyvek® 800 J | Tyvek® 800 | Stitched & over-taped | 3-B, 4-B, 5-B & 6-B | >50 | 2/3 | • | • | • | • | |
| | Tychem® 2000 C (different model options available) | Tychem® 2000 | Stitched & over-taped | 3-B, 4-B, 5-B & 6-B | >5*** | 1/3*** | 0 | • | • | • | |
| | Tychem® 4000 S & 6000 F (different model options available) | Tychem® 4000 & 6000 | Stitched & over-taped | 3-B, 4-B, 5-B & 6-B | >5*** | 1/3*** | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Warning: The garments do not provide a barrier to ionizing radiation

● Not recommended O Adequate ● Preferred O Potentially over-specified

In addition, other appropriate PPE, such as but not limited to respirators, goggles, gloves and footwear, etc., as identified during the hazard assessment, should be used in conjunction with any garment selection. Donning, doffing, and disposal of radioactive contaminated garments should only be conducted by properly trained personnel.*

NOTE: Products that utilize 'SMS' or microporous film substrates (e.g.,DuPont™ ProShield® 10 [SMS] and DuPont™ ProShield® 30 [microporous film]) are appropriate for particle protection, however careful consideration should be given to using products that utilize SMS or microporous film substrates for disaster response activities because:

1. Microporous film fabrics may be easily abraded and the barrier layer can be readily worn away. 2. "SMS" fabrics tend to have quite open structures, offering low dry particle holdout performance to fine particulates.

TECHNICAL BULLETIN NUCLEAR RISKS
© 2022 DuPont. All rights reserve

^{*}NPF=nominal protection factor **Verify chemical permeation data.

[&]quot;Since Type 5 (EN ISO 13982-2) test is done with sodium chloride particles that are measured inside the coveralls, the results of inward eakage for non-breathable materials (such as Tychem®) are typically higher than for breathable materials (such as Tyvek®).





DuPont Personal Protection

DuPont de Nemours (Luxembourg) S.à r.l. Contern - L-2984 Luxembourg

Customer Service

T. +352 3666 5111 mycustomerservice.emea@dupont.com

Additional Resources

<u>Training Webinar</u> for additional guidance on proper donning & doffing for protective coveralls <u>Case study</u> with the United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority (UKAEA) <u>DuPont Personal Protection</u>, Nuclear Industry protection web page



$\textbf{DuPont}^{\text{TM}}\,\textbf{SafeSPEC}^{\text{TM}}\,\textbf{-}\,\textbf{We're}\,\,\textbf{here}\,\,\textbf{to}\,\,\textbf{help}$

Our powerful web-based tool can assist you with finding the appropriate DuPont garment for chemical or cleanroom environment.

safespec.dupont.co.uk





FLAME & ARC PROTECTION

Connect with us:









This information is based upon technical data that DuPont believes to be reliable. It is subject to revision as additional knowledge and experience becomes available. DuPont does not guarantee results and assumes no obligation or liability in connection with this information. It is the user's responsibility to determine the level of toxicity and the proper personal protective equipment needed. This information is intended for use by persons having the technical expertise to undertake evaluation under their own specific end-use conditions, at their own discretion and risk. Anyone intended use in the information is intended for use by persons having the technical expertise to undertake evaluation under their own specific end-use ronditions, on we make no warranties, expersed in fabric becomes torn, worn or punctured, to avoid potential chemical exposure. Since conditions of use are beyond our control, we make no warranties, expressed in fabric becomes torn, user or punctured, to avoid potential chemical expessure. Since conditions of user are the conditions of user and assume no liability in connection with any use of this information is not intended as a license to operate under or a recommendation to infringe any patent or technical information of DuPont or other persons covering any material or its responsibility or determine the under the und